M&G Enhanced Income Fund

Multi-asset

Market overview

US Treasury bond yields reversed course in the first quarter of the year. After having bounced sharply from their cyclical lows in mid-September up to their peak levels in mid-January (just ahead of the US presidential inauguration), vields rallied to end March at the lows of the first quarter, especially at the short end of the term structure, which saw the yield curve steepen. Global financial markets have been on high alert and busy reacting to headlines emanating from the White House ever since. High volatility seems to be the new normal under Trump 2.0, tariffs have garnered the most attention and while this was discounted by the market, it is the actual level of tariffs levied and on which products or countries versus expectations that matters most for asset prices. Whether tariffs are in fact implemented or just threatened for geopolitical aims is another factor for markets to weigh. The economic implication of aggressive tariff increases is ultimately higher prices and slower global growth.

US Treasury bond yields were remarkably stable in January with yield changes across the term structure showing a slight bull steepening bias. The December labour report showed much higher-than-expected monthly nonfarm payrolls and a lower-thanforecasted unemployment rate, alongside as expected average hourly earnings figures. Prior nonfarm payrolls were revised higher again. The bond market sold off on the report's release but recovered a few days later. The January FOMC decision to hold rates steady was widely anticipated. The Committee began the process of normalising interest rates from very restrictive levels in earnest at its September meeting but the enduring strength of the economy, higher-than-expected inflation readings and uncertainties around the new administration's economic policies gave them cause to pause in January.

February was a month of two halves for the US Treasury bond market. Yields started the month rising with a bear flattening bias headed into the 12 February CPI release, which saw all measures of US consumer price inflation printing higher-than-expected. For the rest of the month, vields rallied hard with a bull steepener taking hold. Two reasons come to mind when describing the rally. Firstly, new US Treasury Secretary Scott Bessent made it clear in February that this administration is more concerned with monitoring the US 10-year Treasury yield rather than the Federal Funds rate as it's a better reflection of borrowing costs. Also, they can have an influence on bond yields via fiscal policy. Secondly, US real economic data releases came out weaker than forecast and fears of a looming recession grew. The January labour report showed lower-than-expected monthly nonfarm payrolls and a lower-than-forecasted unemployment rate, alongside higherthan-expected average hourly earnings figures. Prior nonfarm payrolls were revised higher again. The bond market sold off on the report's release until the CPI release five days later. Following the wide range of new tariffs announced, the University of Michigan's one-year inflation expectation jumped. This coupled with the upside realised inflation surprise places the FOMC in a difficult position and the result has seen a market that anticipates stable policy rates.

US Treasury bond yields showed a mixed performance in March with the short end rallying and the long end selling off, resulting in a steepening of the yield curve. The short end was supported by weak economic data. The ISM manufacturing data at the start of the month was weak, not only the headline data but more so the details. The February labour report showed slightly lower-than-expected monthly nonfarm payrolls and a marginally higher-than-forecasted unemployment rate, alongside lower-thanexpected average hourly earnings figures. Prior nonfarm payrolls were revised lower this time. The bond market initially sold off on the report's release but reversed those losses and some more during the following trading session. While the February CPI inflation release was lower-than-expected at both the headline and core level, bond yields still rose. PPI inflation also printed lower-than-expected in February for both the headline and core measures. The preliminary University of Michigan survey was woeful. Sentiment, current conditions and expectations were all worse-than-expected, while inflation expectations for both the near- and long-term horizons jumped. The Empire State Manufacturing Index collapsed as well. Soft or sentiment survey data have been severely impacted by the new administration's actions on tariffs preceding "Liberation Day". The FOMC held rates steady and bond yields managed to rally a tad on the day of the announcement.

Brent crude oil prices were basically unchanged in the first quarter of 2025, after having increased over the previous quarter. Energy prices were relatively stable, trading within a similar narrow range as the previous quarter. The US dollar index declined in the first quarter, reversing most of the stellar gains made in the previous guarter. The currency lost support around the time of the Trump inauguration and has not looked back. The weak US dollar environment for the year to date saw the rand exchange rate recover to its strongest level since mid-December. The rand was one of the better-performing emerging market currencies against the US dollar in the first quarter in both spot and total return terms despite the executive order imposed by President Trump on South Africa. The cost of SOAF protection via the CDS market has been on a rising trend this year. It moved out of the range held over the previous six months which saw some of the lowest levels post Covid.

Locally, SA nominal bond yields rose steadily over the course of the first quarter with a steepening bias. Both local and global factors played a part in this move. The local National Budget impasse as well as the impact of Trump tariffs on the global economy were to blame. The future of the GNU was also at risk for most of the

Annualised performance	A class	Benchmark	T class	X class	D class
1 year	11.1%	8.3%	11.3%	11.0%	11.4%
3 years	9.4%	7.5%	9.6%	9.4%	9.7%
5 years	8.4%	6.2%	8.6%	8.3%	8.7%
7 years	7.2%	6.5%	7.5%	7.2%	7.6%
10 years	7.1%	6.7%	7.4%	7.2%	7.6%
Since inception	7.9%	7.0%	-	-	-



Risk profile

Q12025



Fund facts

Fund objective

To maximise total returns in excess of the benchmark over a rolling 36-month period, while seeking to protect capital and reduce volatility through active asset management.

Investor profile

Individuals requiring an actively managed income solution that provides a high income return together with moderate capital growth. The recommended investment horizon is 1 to 3 years.

Investment mandate

The Fund invests in a diversified mix of local and foreign equity, bonds, listed property and cash. The Fund may also invest in derivatives and other collective investment schemes. Asset allocation is actively and tactically managed to achieve the Fund's objectives. The intended maximum limits are Equity 10%, Listed Property 25% and Foreign 45%. The Fund is managed to comply with regulations governing retirement fund investments (Regulation 28).

Fund managers

Roshen Harry Bulent Badsha

ASISA category South African - Multi-Asset - Income

Benchmark

STeFI Composite Index measured over a rolling 36-month period

Inception date

Fund size R848 590 888

Quarterly Commentary



quarter. Inflation-linked bond yields were mixed on the quarter with the short end rallying on VAT related impacts and the long end selling off on fiscal/global considerations thereby leading to a steepening.

SA bond yields rose modestly in January, underperforming US Treasuries over the month. The local market returned to full trading hours and market participants returned from the holiday period for the resumption of weekly government bond auctions, the first MPC meeting of the year and the receipt and subsequent deployment of coupon flows. Local real economic activity data released in January were poor, especially the PMI and vehicle sales figures while inflation remains well contained. Consumer price inflation increased but printed lower-than-expected at 3.0%. The MPC of the SARB lowered the repo rate by a further 0.25% to 7.50% in January. This decision was widely expected, but the voting split of the committee was a surprise as only four members supported the reduction with two members favouring an unchanged stance. The MPC remains concerned over the upside risks to inflation and has looked through the current "transitory" trough.

SA nominal bond vields rose in February, showing a bear steepening bias. However, inflation-linked bonds rallied with a bull steepener. The highlight or lowlight of the month was the no-show budget. For the first time in history the government failed to deliver the National Budget. The ANC attempted to sneak in a 2% VAT increase into the final budget after agreeing to a budget with its ruling coalition partners in the GNU only two weeks before the budget. They even threatened to walk away from their coalition partners in favour of the left-leaning parties, if they did not accept it. The ANC failed to appreciate that the country is no longer run out of Luthuli House but rather a multi-party coalition. Bond yields and the rand initially sold off on the news of the budget postponement, but this was only short-lived as market participants took heart from the coalition parties resolve to keep the ANC in check.

SA nominal bond yields rose in March, showing a bear steepening bias. However, inflation-linked bonds fared better, with the front-end rallying and the back end selling off somewhat thereby leading to a steepener. The bond market was affected by both global developments emanating from the White House, as well as local negotiations among the GNU party members surrounding the budget impasse with headline risks acute on both fronts. The MPC of the SARB met in March and decided to pause the rate-cutting cycle with the repo rate held steady at 7.50%. The decision was not unanimous as four members voted in favour, while two favoured a 25bps rate cut. The committee has only cut rates by a cumulative 75bps despite CPI inflation collapsing. This has been due to the heightened local political and Trump Tariff risks. The inflation forecast for 2025 was lowered materially at this meeting, therefore according to market the window remains open for a rate cut at the May MPC meeting.

Performance

Over the 12 months to 31 March 2025, the M&G Enhanced Income Fund delivered a total return of 11.1%, which compares favourably to both the benchmark (STeFI Composite) return of 8.3% and the ASISA category average gain of 11%. More importantly, over the past three years the Fund provided an annualised return of 9.4% which was comfortably ahead of the benchmark return of 7.5% and the peer average of 8.5%.

Strategy and positioning

At the start of the guarter, the fund reduced duration via the interest rate swap market. This decision added value to the fund as yields rose steadily over the quarter. For some time, the implied real yields from nominal bonds have been more attractive than those offered by inflation-linked bonds. Therefore, most of the fund's duration exposure has been to nominal rates instead of real rates, though some exposure to inflation-linkers was always maintained due to the relatively high absolute level of real yields available and the fact that they provide a form of insurance to the portfolio. The fund started buying short dated ILB exposure ahead of the February budget and continued to do so over the course of the quarter. Short end linkers rallied in the quarter and this added value. The preference thus far has been in the shorter end of the vield curve, but with the steepening the long end has begun to look attractive also. During the quarter, most of the positioning in our property teams favoured defensive, yet high yielding SA listed property stocks was switched in favour of units in their multi award-winning property fund. Foreign bond exposure remains to short-dated US treasury bonds instead of corporate credit due to the tightness of spreads. A change was made to the foreign bond positions currency hedge, where it was fully currency hedged before and changed to partially long US dollars during the quarter. Over the quarter, risk was managed tactically especially over the local budget. The fund remains drawdown focussed, cyclically aware and tactically alert.

Contact us

- info@mandq.co.za
- mandg.co.za
- 0860 105 775

Invest now

Application forms

An electronic copy of this document is available at www.mandg.co.za

Disclaimer

MandG Investments Unit Trusts (South Africa) (RF) Ltd (Registration number: 1999/0524/06) is an approved CISCA management company (#29). Assets are managed by MandG Investment Managers (DbUI td which is an approved discretionary Handged by Market in the standard threatment wanagets in (Pty) Ltd, which is an approved discretionary Financial Services Provider (#45199). The Trustee's/Custodian details are: Standard Bank of South Africa limited – Trustees Services & investor Services. 20th Floor, Main Tower, Standard Bank Centre, Heerengracht, Cape Town.

Tower, Standard Bank Centre, Heerengracht, Cape Town. Collective Investment Schemes (unit trusts) are generally medium-to long-term investments. Past performance is not necessarily a guide to future investment performance. Unit trust prices are calculated on a net asset value basis. This means the price is the total net market value of all assets of the unit trust fund divided by the total number of units of the fund. Any market movements – for example in share prices, bond prices, money market prices or currency fluctuations - relevant to the underlying assets of the fund may cause the value of the underlying assets to go up or down. As a result, the price of your units may go up or down. Unit trusts are traded at the ruling forward price of the day, meaning that transactions are processed during the day before you or the Manager know what the price at the end of the day will be. The price and therefore the number of units involved in the transaction are only known on the following day. The unit trust fund may borrow up to 10% of the fund value, and it may also lend any scrip (proof of owneship of an investment instrument) that it holds to earn additional income. A M&G unit trust fund may consist of different fund classes that are subject to different fund the overall costs of the fund. A unit trust summary with all fees and maximum initial and ongoing daviser fees is available on our website. financial adviser an agreed standard ongoing adviser fee, which is included in the overall costs of the fund. A unit trust summary with all fees and maximum initial and ongoing adviser fees is available on our website. One can also obtain additional information on M&G products on the M&G website. The Fund may hold foreign securities including foreign CIS funds. As a result, the fund may bay be higher and the liquidity of the fund may be higher and the liquidity of the underlying securities may be restricted due to relative market sizes and market conditions. The fund's ability to settle securities and to repartiate investment income, capital or the proceeds of sales of securities may be adversely affected for multiple reasons including market conditions, macro-economic and political circumstances. Further, the return on the security may be affected (positively or negatively) by the difference in tax regimes between the domestic and foreign tax jurisdictions. The availability of market information and information on any underlying sub-funds may be delayed. The Manager may, at its discretion, close your chosen unit trust fund to new investors and additional investments by existing investors to make sure that it is managed in accordance with this mandate. It may also stop your existing debit order investment. The Manager makes no guarantees as to the capital invested in the fund or the returns of the fund. Excessive withdrawals from the fund may place the fund under liquidity pressure and, in certain circumstances; a process of ring fencing withdrawal instructions may be followed. Fund prices are published daily on the M&G website. These are also available upon request. The performance is calculated for the portolio. Individual investor performance may differ as a result of initial fees, the actual investment date, the date of reinvestment and dividend withholding tax. Purchase and repurchase requests must be received by the Manager by 10h30 (11h30 for all Funds) SA time each business day. All online purchase and